


Chemotherapy is not the answer to cancer

by: Dr. Keith Nemec

Tuesday, September 20, 2011

http://www.naturalnews.com/033622_cancer_chemotherapy.html



Chemotherapy, alone or combined with radiation, may be used before, after or instead of surgery in treating lung cancer

ADAM.

(NaturalNews) Chemotherapy will never be the cure of cancer. Cancer will only heal when the toxic load is decreased and an internal biochemical environment is achieved that only produces health and not disease. When you get the chemicals and toxins out of the body and the proper nutrients in, along with living a lifestyle of seven basic steps to total health (which include proper oxygen, water, food, sleep, exercise, fasting/detoxification and learning to live fully in the moment), then cancer and all disease can heal. Is chemotherapy the best choice when you are diagnosed with cancer? To answer this you must look at what chemotherapy's success rate has been. In an Australian study, researchers stated: "in lung cancer, the median survival has increased by only 2 months during the past 20 years and an overall survival benefit of less than 5 percent has been achieved in the treatment of

breast, colon and head and neck cancers." This study showed that chemotherapy's 5-year survival in adults was 2.1 percent in the USA.

So if chemotherapy is not the cancer cure, what is? The answer is not found in curing the disease but in increasing the level of health in the body, so it can do what it was designed to do, which is heal. To heal cancer you must treat the cause and not the effect. You must balance all the systems of the body naturally instead of targeting one organ or gland with toxic medication that negatively affects all other systems of the body.

According to the homotoxicology model of cancer formation, cancer is the end result of toxic buildup in the cells which causes them to dedifferentiate into cancer stem cells in order to survive in a toxic environment. The nucleus of the cell is where the DNA and genetic material are made. When toxins enter into the nucleus, the cell has one final attempt to survive: it dedifferentiates into a stem cell to try to adapt to this toxic environment. The stem cell can change into any cell type and grow very rapidly.

Toxic chemotherapy cannot heal cancer, but instead it only increases the toxic load in the cells more, which causes the cells to produce even more resistant cancer stem cells. The term in cancer therapy is MDR or multiple drug resistance. When these cancer stem cells are exposed to chemotherapy, they become resistant and even more aggressive in order to survive.

The dilemma arises when you keep putting more chemicals in the body to try to cure cancer and these very same chemicals cause cancer stem cells to become more aggressive. This is seen repeatedly with people that undergo chemotherapy; they see tumor shrinkage, but then the tumors grows back even more aggressively later. The reason this occurs is because chemotherapy does not kill cancer stem cells but only the outer core of the tumor, which is made of non-stem cell cancer cells. When cancer stem cells are exposed to these toxic chemicals, it simply makes them more aggressive and they produce more cancer cells, and the cancer will spread.

The only answer to cancer is found in bringing balance and homeostasis to each system of the body along with balancing the biochemical and mental/emotional states. When this is achieved, then healing will naturally occur, and the cancer stem cells will no longer have to produce more cancer cells because there will no longer be any toxicity in the body causing the cells to dedifferentiate into cancer stem cells. Alternative cancer treatment must focus on changing the internal environment instead of trying to kill cancer cells.

Venezuelan President Hugo Chavez to choose deadly chemotherapy, radiation treatments for cancer rather than natural alternatives

by: Ethan A. Huff

Tuesday, July 19, 2011

http://www.naturalnews.com/033040_Hugo_Chavez_chemotherapy.html

(NaturalNews) Following in the footsteps of many other prominent figures like Michael Jackson, Farrah Fawcett, Peter Jennings, Tony Snow, and Patrick Swayze, just to name a few, Venezuelan President **Hugo Chavez** recently announced that he plans to undergo radiation and chemotherapy treatments for his unspecified type of cancer.



After undergoing surgery last month to have a "baseball-size" tumor removed from his pelvic region (<http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/...>), Chavez now admits that more must be done to fight the cancer. But his decision to take the conventional route will most likely result in the same unfortunate fate that it has for millions of others -- a destroyed immune system and eventual death, all caused by the supposed treatments. All along, Chavez has been largely silent about the severity of his condition, even during his recent announcement about needing more treatment for it. But his statements make it very clear that he intends to follow the "known methods," as he puts it, which include radiation and chemotherapy. And these methods, as many NaturalNews readers know, do not actually cure cancer -- in most cases, they are actually the ultimate cause of death, which is preluded by severe pain and suffering, and a ravaged immune system. With all the available information out there, it really is a wonder that anyone at all chooses chemotherapy or radiation to treat cancer. After all, both treatment methods have largely been shown in studies to be ineffective, and yet conventional medicine continues to push their use as the only recognized way to "treat" cancer. Sure, these methods might destroy certain cancer cells, but they also end up destroying the rest of cancer patients' bodies in the process, which ultimately leads to their demise.

Chemotherapy and radiation have a dismal failure rate, and they actually cause cancer



It is ironic, in a sick sort of way, that two of the primary cancer treatments used in conventional medicine today are both known to cause cancer. Both chemotherapy drugs and radiation treatments increase the risk that more cancers will grow elsewhere in the body, even if they appear to reduce the size of certain treated tumors. This disturbing fact alone proves the illegitimacy of both types of treatment.

"Most cancer patients in this country die of chemotherapy," wrote **Dr. Allen Levin** from the University of California, San Francisco, in his 1990 book *The Healing of Cancer*. "Chemotherapy does not eliminate breast, colon, or lung cancers. This fact has been documented for over a decade, yet doctors still use chemotherapy for these tumors."

And it does not even take the statements of a doctor to prove this as a fact. One of the admitted side effects of taking chemotherapy drugs is the possibility that they will cause cancers like leukemia, which is cancer in the blood cells, to develop throughout the body. And radiation, as we all know, causes severe cell damage that also encourages the growth and spread of cancer cells.



According to **Dr. Ralph Moss**, author of the book *Questioning Chemotherapy*, the success rate for "curing" cancer using chemotherapy is really only about 2 - 5 percent at best -- and this is only in certain types of cancers. This means that in about 98 percent of cases, chemotherapy is absolutely useless in treating those specific cancers, and in the others for which it is completely useless -- breast, colon, lung, brainstem gliomas -- it is 100 percent ineffective. Radiation statistics are even worse. According to information compiled by popular author Edward G. Griffin in his book *World Without Cancer*, radiation is basically 100 percent useless for treating cancer. While it may appear to shrink tumor sizes in certain types of cancer, it actually increases their malignancy at the same time, and ultimately causes other cancer cells to spread throughout the body and eventually kill the patient, in most cases. And this makes perfect sense when considering that radiation in general, whether it comes from a doctor X-ray or a nuclear power plant, is highly carcinogenic.

The only way the cancer industry can even make the claim that either chemotherapy or radiation has any cancer-fighting benefits at all is to continually manipulate data and statistics. You see, both chemotherapy and radiation treatments can easily be made to look as though they are treating cancer, when all they are really doing is destroying the person's entire body, as well as the cancer, and causing patients to die of other diseases instead.

Upon getting chemotherapy and radiation treatments, many cancer patients end up developing various other illnesses caused by these treatments. When patients eventually die of these other diseases, those end up being put on their death certificates as cause of death rather than cancer, and suddenly the cancer industry is able to tabulate those deaths as cured-cancer success stories.

Never mind that the treatments brought about those deaths -- the cancer was "cured" as far as the industry is concerned, and this is literally the data the cancer industry uses to suggest that chemotherapy and radiation help to cure cancer.

To learn more about the deceptions of the cancer industry, and to hear the fascinating story of a doctor whose truly successful work in treating cancer has been marginalized and targeted by the cancer industry and the US government for decades, check out the amazing documentary *Burzynski The Movie* for FREE at NaturalNews.TV:

<http://www.naturalnews.tv/v.asp?v=F...>

Also, be sure to check out the Max Gerson documentary *Dying to Have Known*, which features another highly-successful approach to treating cancer naturally:

http://www.naturalnews.com/031791_G...

Stay Away From Chemotherapy and Radiation

By Dr. James Howenstine, MD.



July 14, 2011

NewsWithViews.com

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Howenstine/james189.htm>

For many years it has been known to well informed individuals within the medical community that chemotherapy and radiation were quite toxic and essentially worthless in the management of malignancies. This information is carefully covered up by our controlled media so that these two methods of therapy are regarded by lay persons as the proven therapy for malignancies. Like lemmings going over a cliff the general public lines up for these therapies and nearly all treated persons proceed to die.

To the person who inquires how can an essentially worthless therapy continue to be used the answer is simple. It is all about money. Chemotherapy drugs bring in more than a trillion dollars annually to the pharmaceutical industry. Oncologists frequently make \$1000 from every injection administered to a patient. There are 40 National Cancer Institute Centers scattered across the USA. Each of these employs thousands of employees. Curing cancer would be devastating for the economy and must not be allowed to occur. Research programs whose alleged purpose is to cure cancer see their funds steered into harmless areas where no cancer cures will ever result. Less than 1% of research funds are spent finding methods to prevent the spread of cancer. which is the cause of fatal cancer cachexia which kills 90% of cancer victims..

Preventing unfavorable chemotherapy results from being seen by the public in TV, radio, and newspaper reporting is combined with malicious quackery charges and actual death threats to practitioners who have legitimate cancer cures. I am aware of at least a dozen cancer cures since 1900 that have been ignored or suppressed. Several persons with bonafide cancer cures have been so vilified they died alcoholics or committed suicide. Prominent physician Dr Milbrook Johnson was poisoned the night before he was scheduled to speak on a national radio network in the 1940s about the ability of Dr. Royal Rife's electronic equipment to cure cancer and infections.

Both chemotherapy and radiation have such toxic effects on the human body they must be stopped before they kill the patient. In the early days of chemotherapy drugs it was noted that skeptical patients who refused to take chemotherapy usually lived longer than patients treated with chemotherapy. Radiation therapy directed toward a bone containing cancer might initially seem innocuous but actually has effects throughout the body. Circulation of blood through areas of ionizing radiation being delivered to bone is able to transport this radiated blood to distant sites where it can injure bone marrow production of killer lymphocytes, red blood cells, macrophages and platelets. Loss of appetite and nausea can be seen. Conventional radiation to the abdomen and other areas may be followed by permanent injury to the small intestine (diarrhea, pain) and arteriosclerosis of arteries in any field of radiation.

A suspected cancer patient had xray films showing numerous lucent identical sized masses overlying brain and spinal cord tissue. The films were misread as metastasis from a prior malignant melanoma instead of echinococcal cysts spread from the family dogs.. Massive radiation of brain and spinal cord tissue caused complete loss of appetite with no food intake and death in 8 days in a previously well 26 year old woman. What are results of chemotherapy drugs? Associate Professor Graeme Morgan of Australia was the lead researcher on an article titled "The contribution of cytotoxic chemotherapy to 5 year survival n adult malignancies". This research showed that chemotherapy improved 5 year survival by less than 3% in adults with cancer. In 1987 Dr. Lana Levi of the University of California wrote "most cancer patients in this country die of chemotherapy. It does not eliminate breast, colon, or lung cancer. This fact has been known for over a decade. Women with breast cancer are likely to die faster with chemotherapy than without it."

Dr. Ralph Moss Ph.D relates that "Conventional Cancer therapy is so toxic and dehumanizing that I fear it more than I fear death from cancer. Yet most alternative therapies regardless of potential or proven benefit are outlawed, which forces patients to submit to the failures that we know don't work because there is no other choice. Dr. Moss was employed as a science writer for Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center in New York. Chemotherapy drugs are cellular poisons so they are quite toxic. They are also quite expensive. In his book The Cancer Industry he documents how the close links between the pharmaceutical industry and the cancer establishment enables an inadequate therapy like chemotherapy to become promoted and established as standard care."

In a 1995 interview Dr. Moss related that chemotherapy was effective in only 2 to 4 % of all malignancies (Hodgkin's

Disease, Acute Lymphocytic Leukemia, childhood leukemia, testicular cancer and Choriocarcinoma.)

The package inserts for chemotherapy drugs admit that taking a course of chemotherapy drugs can increase your risk of subsequently developing a new cancer by about 10%. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) warns that the powerful drugs used in chemotherapy can cause cancer in employees who handle them (nurses, pharmacists, cleaning personnel). If continued too long these drugs are fatal. The damage to white blood cell, killer lymphocyte and red blood cell production makes the patient vulnerable to overwhelming infection which is the cause of death in many patients on chemotherapy and radiation. It never made sense to me why administering toxic substances that cause major side effects could possibly heal a serious illness like a malignancy.

Dr. William Campbell Douglass II, MD "To understand the utter hypocrisy of chemotherapy, consider the following: The McGill Cancer Center in Canada, one of the largest and most prestigious cancer treatment centers in the world, did a study of oncologists to determine how they would respond to a diagnosis of cancer. On the confidential questionnaire, 58 out of 64 doctors said that all chemotherapy programs were unacceptable to them and their family."

In 33 years of conventional medical practice I referred all patients with malignancies to oncologists. The only survivor was a Chinese man with a low grade lung cancer. He responded every five years or so to a few doses of radiation. In the past 4 years I have used a safe herbal therapy for malignancies called Lifeone. This treatment has been quite effective in curing a wide variety of malignancies including 6 cases of pancreatic cancer, ovarian cancer, lymphomas, malignant melanoma, lung cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer, squamous cell cancer, etc.

In my opinion one of the most important verses in the Bible is Proverbs 14:12 "There is a way that seems right unto man but its end is the way of death". Drug company owners and executives, politicians, world leaders and media executives have unbelievable wealth and power in this world. However, they face a tortured eternity following death. This seems to be a very unwise tradeoff. Anyone reading this article has my permission to copy or publish this information. Hopefully, some individuals will be made aware that there are safe effective alternatives to chemotherapy and radiation in the treatment of malignancies.

Elizabeth Edwards joins long list of victims killed by chemotherapy

Thursday, December 09, 2010

by Mike Adams

http://www.naturalnews.com/030673_Elizabeth_Edwards_chemotherapy.html



(NaturalNews) Elizabeth Edwards, the wife of presidential candidate John Edwards, died this week following a six-year chemical assault on her body from cancer doctors. Ravaged by the effects of toxic poisons known as "chemotherapy," Elizabeth even cursed the chemotherapy drugs before she died, saying, "Damn these drugs."

Chemotherapy drugs are derived from chemical weapons used in World War I. They are so toxic that doctors and pharmacists get cancer just from handling chemotherapy drugs (http://www.naturalnews.com/029191_s...).

Cancer doctors destroyed Elizabeth's health for six years and then abandoned her, saying that "further treatment would be unproductive." This is how conventional cancer doctors operate: They poison your body, take your money, and when it turns out they've nearly killed you, they just stop treating you and tell you to go home and die.

Despite being "treated" by chemotherapy, Elizabeth's breast cancer spread to her bones in 2007. Even with more "treatments" offered by conventional cancer doctors, cancer continued to spread to her spine, skull and legs.



Like millions of other cancer sufferers, Elizabeth was never told the truth about cancer by her doctors. What truth? That there is a cure for cancer. In fact, there are many cures for cancer. Conventional medicine doesn't believe in any of them. Rather than curing patients, traditional oncologists poison them with deadly chemicals and call it "treatment."

In just the last few years, the cancer industry has killed Patrick Swayze, Farrah Fawcett (http://www.naturalnews.com/026511_c...), and numerous other celebrities who sadly bet their

lives on a corrupt, fraudulent cancer industry run by quacks.

Those people paid for their misplaced trust with their lives.

Chemotherapy is not medicine. And the cancer industry is a for-profit industry that thrives on the continued existence of the disease. The cancer industry is not interested in actually curing cancer. Treating people is just too darned profitable to make any serious effort to stop the disease.

If you really want to cure cancer, read about all the scientific evidence supporting natural cancer cures and cancer prevention strategies right here on NaturalNews: <http://www.naturalnews.com/cancer.html>

Who looks healthier after cancer? Suzanne Somers or Michael Douglas?

Wednesday, November 03, 2010


by Mike Adams

http://www.naturalnews.com/030274_Suzanne_Somers_Michael_Douglas.html



(NaturalNews) They're about the same age and they've both battled cancer. One person chose the natural treatment route and the other chose toxic chemotherapy. Can you guess which is which? It's difficult to look at recent pictures of **Michael Douglas** and not come to the conclusion that he's dying. Or, more accurately, he's being killed by the cancer industry just like countless other victims who have been poisoned, irradiated or surgically harmed by an industry that offers no cures, no compassion and no real

solutions. The cancer industry kills more people than it saves, and yet oncologists insist that they alone know how to treat cancer even when the hard science shows their treatments aren't working!



The real answers to cancer come from people who have survived it through healing, not poison -- people like Suzanne Somers who is now teaching principles of self healing through her new film called the **Suzanne Somers BREAKTHROUGH** Tour, opening tomorrow in theaters across the country. This event is billed as "an honest, engaging and often humorous discussion encouraging viewers to take charge of their health, recapture their youth and maintain their vitality."

An announcement for the event explains: "Suzanne busts open the paradigm of conventional cancer treatments and creates a step-by-step action plan based upon hundreds of interviews with doctors and scientists who are having great success with alternative treatments. She reflects on her own cancer scares with a fervent

plea to persuade people to seize a commanding role in their health treatments, urging viewers to ask the questions their doctors aren't asking, to educate themselves about alternative therapies and to seek out information for addressing their health issues rather than merely taking conventional treatments at face value."

In other words, Suzanne is teaching her audience to be more like NaturalNews readers: Educated, responsible and self-healing. It's the only system of medicine that really works. Learn more about where you can see her film

at www.SuzanneSomers.com

Michael Douglas, meanwhile, has surrendered his health over to a team of poison pushers called "oncologists." And for taking absolutely no active role in his own healing while subjecting his body to deadly poisons, he is for some reason being called "courageous."

Let me be blunt about this: Selling out your body and your health to the cancer industry is not courageous. It's foolish. What takes real courage is standing up to the cancer industry, telling your oncologist to keep his hands off your body and taking charge of your own healing journey through changes in diet, nutrition, exercise and mind-body medicine. Self-healing takes courage. Chemotherapy does not.

Will Douglas be a chemo survivor?



If Michael Douglas survives the next twelve months, it will only be because his body managed to resist the poisoning he was subjected to by his doctors. He will then be a chemo survivor (not a cancer survivor), because the cancer itself will of course come right back now that his immune system has been so damaged by the chemo.

[Right: Michael Douglas, 68th Golden Globe Awards, 2011]

As with all chemo patients, Michael Douglas has now sadly suffered brain damage, heart damage, liver damage and kidney damage from the chemotherapy poisons. He has paid a steep price for "shrinking a tumor" even though the physical shrinking of a tumor has been scientifically shown to be medically useless in and of itself. **Cancer tumors have their own root cells (cancer stem cells) which grow right back unless you change the environment and improve the holistic health of the patient.**

How to make better decisions about cancer

I admire Michael Douglas as an actor and as a human being, and I feel great sorrow for his decision to harm his own health at the hands of chemotherapy doctors. Yet just like millions of other cancer industry victims, Douglas has made a poorly informed decision about cancer treatment that may very well cost him his life.

What Douglas needs to see is Suzanne Somers' new "Breakthrough Tour" film. He needs to read NaturalNews and listen to the enlightening interviews Jonathan Landsman has done with cancer experts

(<http://www.naturalnewsuniversity.co...>).

In fact, every cancer patient needs to listen to people like Suzanne Somers, a self-empowered woman who has chosen to invoke her body's self healing capabilities and take a stand against the quackery of the conventional cancer industry. After all, the photos tell the real story: Suzanne Somers looks a whole lot healthier than Michael Douglas these days. And that's because of a courageous choice she made to stand up to the cancer industry and take charge of her own healing journey.

Study Reveals Chemotherapy Hastened or Caused Deaths of Many

Monday, February 02, 2009 by: Reuben Chow

http://www.naturalnews.com/025499_cancer_chemotherapy_treatment.html

(NaturalNews) Chemotherapy is one of three main treatment protocols of conventional medicine, one which millions of people worldwide have been put through. In fact, virtually all of us know someone who suffered and finally passed on after a futile course of chemotherapy prescribed by his or her doctor. 'Nothing more could be done anyway', we are often told. Lately, a recent study in Britain has raised serious questions about chemotherapy, in particular the role it plays in hastening and even causing the death of late-stage cancer sufferers.

Details and Findings of Study

The study had been carried out by the National Confidential Enquiry into Patient Outcome and Deaths in Britain, whose members are mostly taken from British medical royal colleges. It had looked at the cases of 600 cancer sufferers in the country who had passed on within 30 days of treatment. The majority of the said patients had already been declared "incurable" by doctors, and had been put on chemotherapy for palliative purposes.

And the study found that about 1 in every 4 of such deaths had either been sped up or even caused by chemotherapy. The study's findings also included the discovery that 2 out of every 5 of the patients had suffered significant poisoning from the treatment.

How Effective is Conventional Cancer Treatment?

The findings of this study would be of little surprise to many; in fact, some would even say chemo must surely have caused or hastened more than a quarter of the deaths. Chemotherapy, after all, as virtually everyone "knows", is a severely toxic treatment method, and a person has to be "strong enough" to withstand it, which is extremely strange and illogical considering that cancer patients already have seriously compromised immune systems. There is, really, nothing intuitively right about the use of chemo to deal with cancer.

But the study's findings do resurface telling questions regarding the efficacy of conventional cancer treatment. Any discourse on conventional versus alternative cancer treatment can stretch for quite a bit. Well regarded cancer researcher [Lothar Hirneise](#), in his book [Chemotherapy Heals Cancer and the World is Flat](#), puts forth what is perhaps an objective, non-dramatic and measured viewpoint on the lack of success of conventional cancer treatment protocols. He stated: "I would also like to explain an additional bias at the beginning of the book. I am neither for nor against conventional medicine and I am neither for nor against non-conventional medicine. I am exclusively concerned with people's welfare, and whatever contributes to regaining their health is all the same to me. If I have become more and more interested in non-conventional medicine in recent years, this has nothing to do with any prejudices or personal interests, rather it is due to the results of my own research which have convinced me that conventional medicine is not nearly as successful in treating chronic illnesses like cancer, as many patients, unfortunately, still believe." Here is a side note - interesting and attention-grabbing though its title may be, the book, according to [HealingCancerNaturally.com](#), is not quite what its name suggests it is. Instead, it is said to be "an 'encyclopedic' comparative reference and guide book as well as a fascinating read on both the holistic 'alternative' approaches to healing cancer and the conventional or orthodox allopathic treatment of the variegated forms of this disease".

The (Lack of) Reliability of Conventional Cancer Statistics

When it comes to conventional cancer treatment, another key point to consider is the way its statistics are packaged. In her well-researched and well-written book "Outsmart Your Cancer", Tanya Harter Pierce outlines 6 main ways in which cancer statistics are manipulated to make them look better than they are - she had obtained these findings mainly from the excellent work of Lorraine Day, MD, and Ralph W Moss, PhD.

* "Cure" is defined as being alive 5 years after diagnosis. This means that a person could be very sick with cancer for 5 years and 1 day, after which he or she dies, and still be declared as "cured" by chemotherapy. Isn't this simply playing with words?

* Certain types of cancer and certain groups of people which exhibit poor recovery rates are simply excluded from overall statistics. This artificially raises the average "cure" rate.

* Easily curable cancerous and even pre-cancerous conditions are included in overall statistics. An example for the latter is ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), which was included in and now accounts for a significant portion of breast cancer statistics. This move artificially increases the overall recovery rate.

* Earlier detection is taken to mean longer survival time. This means that a person may die at the exact same point of cancer development as another person, but the former is taken to have lived longer simply by virtue of the fact that his tumor was discovered earlier. In other words, different start points are used. Isn't this merely delusional?

* Patients who fail to "complete" conventional treatment protocols are excluded from overall statistics. This means that if a patient prescribed a 10-course chemotherapy protocol dies after 9 sessions, he is not included as a "failure" case. Control groups, however, play by different rules. This, again, artificially raises cure rates for conventional protocols. Isn't this totally unscientific?

* Adjusting for "Relative Survival Rate". This is perhaps best explained by Dr Moss: "Relative survival rates take into account the 'expected mortality figures'. Put simply, this means that if a person hadn't died of cancer he might have been run over by a truck, and that must be factored into the equation." Once again, this artificially raises the success rates of conventional treatment.

Conclusion

Taking into account the abovementioned, two main questions spring to my mind. Are cancer patients and their families informed of the fine print of cancer statistics when they are advised by their doctors to proceed with conventional cancer treatment, or when they are told that chemotherapy offers a such-and-such percentage of "cure" and is therefore their best (or only) option?

And, if, even after such deliberately deceptive maneuvers, official conventional cancer statistics still read so poorly, how bad exactly would the real statistics read without the blatant manipulation? Intuitively, we probably know the rough answers.

Ultimately, the choice to go conventional, alternative or a combination of both is a decision which lies and should continue to lie with patients and their families. It will be a sad day when sick people are forced to undergo any particular protocol, especially when, statistically speaking, the method does not even work. **But a fundamental assumption underlying free will is the availability of perfect information, which unfortunately seems far from reality as far as cancer treatment is concerned.** In choosing the type of cancer therapy to undergo, the above questions must be seriously considered by those affected. And if certain parties choose to present blinkered perspectives of reality, then it is up to cancer patients and their families to do as much as they can to patch up the remaining portions of that reality which are blocked from their view.

Why cancer comes back following chemotherapy, radiation or surgery

Tuesday, August 07, 2007

by Mike Adams

http://www.naturalnews.com/021967_cancer_chemotherapy_water.html

One of the most common problems in cancer patients who choose to undergo conventional cancer therapy is



recurrence. They might think they have beaten their cancer with chemotherapy, radiation, and surgery, only to find a few years later that tumors have spread into other tissues -- usually the lungs, brain, or even the reproductive organs. Conventional medicine has not yet caught on to what's happening here, but the reason why this phenomenon occurs is quite simple: Conventional cancer treatments only treat the symptoms of cancer (tumors or growths) and do not actually do anything to help the patient regain a level of health necessary to keep cancer in check.

A tumor is not technically a disease. It is a symptom of an underlying imbalance in the patient. Unless you treat and reverse the underlying imbalances, you will never eliminate the underlying cause

of the cancer. You will continue to see more tumors and complications, even if you are able to treat the first tumors.

Think of it like this: suppose you have a dam holding up a body of water such as a lake. One day, due to poor maintenance, the dam begins to crack and water starts leaking through. In the world of conventional cancer treatment, they would say the problem is the water, and they would begin treating the water. They would try to evaporate the water or eliminate the water from flooding the valley below. But the problem isn't the water leaking through the dam; the problem is the integrity of the dam itself. Unless you diagnose the problem with the dam and repair it, then you will never stop the leaking water.

The same is true with cancer. Unless you address the underlying integrity of the immune system and the body's self-repairing technology, all you're doing is chasing symptoms. You can treat all the cancer tumors in the world and still do nothing to actually help the cancer patient solve his or her underlying problem.

The cancer industry remains ignorant of useful treatments

Amazingly, after decades of research, and billions of dollars spent on cancer research, the conventional cancer industry remains oblivious to this simple truth about cancer. They don't teach cancer prevention, and they don't believe in cancer cures even though many exist. They are still treating the water rather than the dam by treating the tumors rather than the underlying problem.

Conventional cancer treatments actually harm the patient and the patient's immune system, making it even more difficult to overcome cancer in the future. Chemotherapy harms the immune system and impairs organs such as the brain, liver, and the heart. It's sort of like using TNT to blow out the water leaking through the dam. You destroy the dam at the same time. It leaves the patient unable to stop the progression of the cancer. The real answers to cancer prevention and cure are found in nutrition, exercise, reduction of stress, and avoidance of environmental chemicals and toxins. Chemotherapy is not a cure for cancer, nor is radiation, because both of those treatments actually cause cancer.

When you poison the immune system and irradiate tissues, you create physical imbalances which in turn can create cancer. This is the simple reason why so many people who subject themselves to conventional cancer treatments find themselves battling recurring cancer years later.

Where to start with curing cancer

The bottom line is that conventional cancer treatments are a sham and they do nothing to help the patient overcome the causes of cancer. They do a great job of creating repeat business for the cancer industry, however, and pharmaceutical companies now have a reliable market for the anticancer chemicals that have now become mainstream treatments for this entirely preventable and curable disease. But if you want to really cure cancer, you've got to focus on detoxification and healing from the inside out. Nearly all cases of cancer are caused in part by a burden of toxic, synthetic chemicals lodged in the liver, heart and digestive tract. Eliminating those is the first step towards a real cure, and cleansing the liver is probably the single most important thing to do when seeking to cure your own cancer (or to have a "permanent remission" as conventional oncologists might call it, since they don't believe there is such a thing as a cure for cancer).


So, how do you cleanse your liver? I could write an entire article just on that, but here's something to get you started on what to investigate: The best modalities for liver cleansing are, in my opinion, Traditional Chinese Medicine and rainforest herbs (Amazon herbs). I'll be writing a lot more about Amazon Herbs in the next day or two, so come back to read more about that if you want to learn about which herbs and herbal products are best at removing toxins from your liver (and restoring healthy liver function).

In my opinion, there is no such thing as a cancer recovery without a healthy, functioning liver. So start with supporting the liver. Isn't it interesting to note that chemotherapy -- which is still somehow accepted as the mainstream treatment for cancer -- is extremely toxic to the liver? In fact, chemotherapy compromises the body's ability to cure itself of cancer in the future, thereby ensuring continued entrapment in the pharmacological medical system. The reason conventional medicine is so incredibly profitable is that the chemical products they use harm patients just enough to make sure they need to keep coming back for more treatments.

Killer cancer treatment: How toxic chemotherapy kills both cancer cells and cancer patients

Tuesday, October 25, 2005 by: Dani Veracity

http://www.naturalnews.com/012727_chemotherapy_cancer_treatments.html



"If cancer specialists were to admit publicly that chemotherapy is of limited usefulness and is often dangerous, the public might demand a radical change in direction—possibly toward unorthodox and nontoxic methods, and toward cancer prevention. ...The use of chemotherapy is even advocated by those members of the establishment who realize how ineffective and dangerous it can be." - **Ralph W. Moss, author, The Cancer Industry**

Imagine that you own a house that is absolutely perfect and beautiful with all the necessities, except that it has some rodents inside. When you call the exterminators, they tell you that they won't be able to target just the rodents, as these rodents are of an especially stealthy breed. They tell you they're just going to set off a series of explosions in your house that may kill the rodents. They warn you, "Oh yeah, it may destroy some of your house in the process, but, hey, you want those rodents out of your house, right?" There's probably no way you would allow that; instead, you would do some research and find other, more specific and less generally destructive ways of getting rid of the rodents.

The allegorical exterminators' logic makes no sense; yet, it's the same logic that doctors who prescribe chemotherapy follow. Like the exterminators' explosions, chemotherapy doesn't exclusively target cancer cells; it also harms your good cells, destroying some of your body - your "house" - in the process. As a result, many chemotherapy patients lose their hair, develop immune deficiencies, lose weight and vomit. Chemotherapy poisons your body as a whole in an attempt to kill the cancer cells before the "treatment" brings your body to an unrecoverable state.



As Gary Null and James Feast write, "(After chemotherapy,) the hope is the cancer is going to be totally dead and you are only half dead and recover." Unfortunately, some people are more than "half dead" after chemotherapy and remain damaged for the rest of their lives, no matter how long or how short that life may be. They never realize that according to many alternative health practitioners, there are safer ways of combating many types of cancer.


Former chemotherapy patient Anne explains in **Michio Kushi's and Alex Jack's book, The Cancer Prevention Diet**: "My mind rebelled at the thought of another six months of that poison. On several occasions, the doctor couldn't perform chemotherapy treatments on me because my white blood cell count was dangerously low. I promised my body I would not undergo any further chemotherapy treatments."



Anne's account reflects the feelings of all too many cancer patients who have suffered through months of often debilitating chemotherapy. The side effects that chemotherapy patients feel


and others see - the extreme nausea and vomiting, the hair loss, the weight loss - are indicative of the intense havoc that chemotherapy is causing within the body. According to the Life Extension Foundation, chemotherapy drugs are "cytotoxic," meaning that "they kill cells that are extremely active." Cancer cells are, of course, extremely active. However, so are the cells of the hair and the immune system, for example, which accounts for chemotherapy's destructive side effects.

As if these side effects are not enough, cancer therapy commonly includes surgery and radiation, both of which have their own dangers and side effects. As **Professor Null writes in his Complete Encyclopedia of Natural Healing**, "The mainstream medical establishment often prescribes mastectomy, radiation and chemotherapy to treat cancer, an approach that has been described as a slash-and-burn strategy." The treatment for breast cancer is unfortunately often the general rule among cancer treatment - cut off the affected organ, poison the body with chemotherapy and then harm the body even more with radiation.



In Get Healthy Now, Professor Null describes one woman's experience with mainstream medicine's approach to breast cancer treatment: "Three days later, she had her breast lopped off. That was followed up with lots of chemotherapy. Her hair fell out and she vomited 24 hours a day. She couldn't keep any food down. Then they did radiation and her skin burnt up and two of her ribs broke." He concludes, "Most people don't know how dangerous radiation is. I had seen enough. I wouldn't touch any of that medicine with a 10-foot pole." Surgical removal of the cancerous body part also has its own aftereffects, of course, requiring not only the normal recovery after any surgery, but also coping with the psychological effects of having a body part removed.

It may all be unnecessary in the first place



As cancer patients suffer from the side effects of chemotherapy and other methods of mainstream cancer treatment, the fact remains that according to many medical practitioners, these treatments are unnecessary and sometimes do more harm than good. In response to chemotherapy's many side effects, Dr. Atkins says in Burton Goldberg's *Alternative Medicine*, "Only in situations in which chemotherapy is proven to be effective and curative would I recommend it. In general, this might be testicular cancer."

Many people also think that surgery can sometimes do more harm than good: Biopsy, for example, may in fact spread cancer cells, according to Professor Null. Furthermore, the most extreme example of unnecessary cancer therapy - treatment for false positive cancer diagnoses - is more common than we'd like to believe, according to *Critical Condition* authors Donald L. Barlett and James B. Steele.

If, as many people believe, mainstream cancer treatment is sometimes ineffective and always harmful to the body as a whole, then what is the alternative? Goldberg writes that Ukrain, which is made from the alkaloids of the greater celandine plant and the pharmaceutical Thiotepa, "can do everything chemotherapy does but without the side effects, so it renders chemotherapy largely unnecessary." The beauty of Ukrain is that it, unlike chemotherapy drugs, it only targets the cancer cells and not your healthy ones. Furthermore, good nutrition - vitamins, minerals, fiber, fresh fruit and vegetables, juices and medicinal herbs - can do wonders against cancer. Of course, you need to discuss a treatment plan that is right for your type of cancer and your body with a medical professional, preferably a naturopath. But before you say yes to chemotherapy, remember what it does to your body and consider all available treatments.

The experts speak on chemotherapy:

"Chemotherapy poisons your body as a whole in an attempt to kill cancer cells". As Duesberg explains, AZT had been designed to work as would any other chemotherapeutic drug. "chemotherapy," he says, "is a rational but desperate treatment for cancer." The toxic drug given in the process will kill any and all growing cells in the patient. After a short round of chemo, "the hope is the cancer is going to be totally dead, and you are only half dead and recover." Duesberg points out that the dangerous violence of the method, which is slaughtering cells wholesale, not targeting only cancer cells, is evident in the side effects. "You lose your hair, you lose weight, you get pneumonia, you get immune deficiency, because it's severe cellular intoxication. You kill a lot of good cells, too."

Aids A Second Opinion by Gary Null PhD with James Feast, page 429

"How chemotherapy harms your body"

In September 1986, Anne decided to stop chemotherapy despite the opposition of her oncologist. "My mind rebelled at the thought of another six months of that poison," she observes. "On several occasions the doctor couldn't perform chemotherapy treatments on me because my white blood cell count was dangerously low. I promised my body I would not undergo any further chemotherapy treatments."

The Center Prevention Diet by Michio Kushi & Alex Jack, page 131

Other treatments, with significant side effects, are being investigated for more serious forms of the disease. Immunosuppressive drugs that have been used for cancer chemotherapy and organ transplants may reduce the autoimmune response. These drugs are cytotoxic; that is, they kill cells that are extremely active, which in autoimmune disease are white blood cells.

Disease Prevention And Treatment by Life Extension Foundation, page 1373

Nausea is usually a passing symptom that will almost always go away by itself. In most cases, I recommend natural remedies for nausea because they are often as effective as some of the prescription antiemetic drugs, but they do not cause unnecessary side effects. The only exception to this rule, however, is in the case of cancer patients who are receiving chemotherapy; the kind of intense nausea they may experience can be so severe that stronger measures may be needed.

Secret Remedies by Earl Mindell RPh PhD, page 204

"The tri-fold approach to mainstream cancer therapy"

The mainstream medical establishment often prescribes mastectomy, radiation, and chemotherapy to treat breast cancer, an approach that has been described as a slash-and-burn strategy. This approach may be in for a reappraisal with the recent insight by the medical world that breast cancer is actually three different diseases, with indistinct boundaries, rather than one. In other words, only some breast cancers fit the image of a disease that is fast-growing and fast-spreading. Two other categories of this condition exist, the slowest-growing of which may never spread or be life-threatening at all. With this realization comes the idea that giving everyone with breast cancer chemotherapy may be unnecessary. Considering the harmful effects of chemotherapy, the belated nature of this realization is disturbing, to say the least.

Complete Encyclopedia Of Natural Healing by Gary Null PhD, page 72

Dr. Atkins regards chemotherapy as otherwise dangerous and best avoided in treating the majority of cancers. Only in situations in which chemotherapy is proven to be effective and curative would I recommend it, he says. In general, this might be testicular cancer ...

Alternative Medicine by Burton Goldberg, page 595

Three days later, she had her breast lopped off. That was followed up with lots of chemotherapy. Her hair fell out and she vomited 24 hours a day. She couldn't keep any food down. Then they did radiation and her skin burnt up and two of her ribs broke. Most people don't know how dangerous radiation is. I had seen enough. I wouldn't touch any of that medicine with a 10-foot pole.

Get Healthy Now by Gary Null, page 762

I try never to use radiation treatment -- which is even more dangerous than most forms of chemotherapy -- without also using hyperthermia, says Dr. Atkins. Thanks to hyperthermia, we can shrink tumors with far less radiation to get the same therapeutic outcome, and our patients' immune systems and overall health are faring much better as a result.

Alternative Medicine by Burton Goldberg, page 609

If prostate cancer develops, mainstream medicine typically offers prostatectomy, or removal of the prostate, chemotherapy, and radiation. All are ineffective and often dangerous. Surgery, like biopsy, can actually spread cancer cells, and often decreases sexual potency and urinary control. PACT, a prostate support group, advocates hormonal blockers to induce cancer shrinkage, which may hold the disease at bay for several years, followed by a prostatectomy or cryosurgery (freezing of the prostate). The most common hormonal blockers are Lupron and Fludamide. As prostate cancer is generally slow growing, patients, especially those in the early stages, have time to try less harmful methods of treatment.

Complete Encyclopedia Of Natural Healing by Gary Null PhD, page 308

Rufer and her husband sued Abbott Laboratories, UWMC, and the cancer specialist who treated her. UWMC and the doctor argued that they had relied on the Abbott test results. Abbott denied all responsibility, even though the literature distributed with its tests made no mention of the potential for false positives. What's more, according to a court opinion, it turned out that Abbott also had access to reports that false positive results on its assay led to unnecessary cancer treatment before 1998. It received over forty complaints of false positives, including multiple complaints of unnecessary chemotherapy and surgery before Jennifer Rufers first treatment in April 1998.

Critical condition by Donald L Barlett and James B Steele, page 63

To reduce the need for steroids, immunosuppressants such as azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine (also used in the treatment of some cancers), or cyclosporine may be substituted. Various chemotherapy agents and organ transplant antirejection drugs are also used. Again, these medications have their own problematic or dangerous side effects. Therefore, thoughtful consideration of a treatment plan coordinated by the patient's physician is required.

Disease Prevention And Treatment by Life Extension Foundation, page 614

The diagnosis of bilateral lung cancer was confirmed by x-rays, a CAT scan, and a lung biopsy. Like Dr. Meshad, the physician at the center recommended against chemotherapy. Ruth's prognosis was not good: the cancer was aggressive and her history of liver disease made the use of chemotherapy dangerous.

Sharks Still Don't Get Cancer By Dr I William Lane, page 155

"Alternatives to chemotherapy and other forms of mainstream cancer treatment"

In other words, alternative therapies may pose a serious threat when a patient, if swayed toward alternatives, loses the opportunity to receive the (extremely) effective orthodox treatment? Run that by me again. Time and time again it has been confirmed that the proven medical treatments are not only ineffective but dangerous. The vast majority of patients with cancer live longer and better if left without the orthodox treatments. Oncologists will not accept these treatments for themselves. No scientific research is needed to prove that fresh vegetables, fruit, juices, medicinal herbs, vitamins, minerals, fiber, etc. are not harmful to the body. It would be nice if there were proof that surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy were not harmful.

Health In The 21st Century by Francisco Contreras MD, page 224

Finally, if cancer specialists were to admit publicly that chemotherapy is of limited usefulness and is often dangerous, the public might demand a radical change in direction—possibly toward unorthodox and nontoxic methods, and toward cancer prevention. By constantly touting the promise of anticancer drugs, orthodox practitioners ward off this challenge to their expertise and scientists parry the threat radically new concepts represent to their long years of research. The use of chemotherapy is even advocated by those members of the establishment who realize how ineffective and dangerous it can be.

The Cancer Industry by Ralph W Moss, page 84

How to give yourself cancer in five easy steps

Thursday, November 04, 2004

by Mike Adams

http://www.naturalnews.com/002079_cancer_disease_preventions.html

Following with our ever-popular series on "How to Get Disease," this article discusses how to give yourself a raging case of cancer. It could be breast cancer, colon cancer, prostate cancer or even something like leukemia. By following the instructions in this article, you can give yourself almost any form of cancer desirable and if you pursue these strategies to their fullest potential, you could end up with several different forms of cancer all at once. **So, let's get started and**

learn how to give yourself cancer.



If you're aiming for a raging case of cancer, the first thing you've got to do is start consuming food ingredients that actually promote cancer. One of the most powerful cancer-promoting food ingredients of all is called sodium nitrate. This is an ingredient that is added to virtually all packaged meat products including hot dogs, pepperoni, ham, **lunchmeat** and other similar products. You'll also find it in **bacon**, sausages and most breakfast meats. It's listed right on the ingredients labels of all of these foods. In order to find sodium nitrate, all you have to do is walk around the grocery store, read the ingredients labels of various packaged meat products and purchase those products that contain it. Then, consume them on a frequent basis and before long, you will greatly increase your odds of being diagnosed with cancer.



There are other ingredients that are suspected of causing cancer. These include hydrogenated oils, aspartame, saccharin and artificial colors, to name a few. A diet that is very high in refined carbohydrates has also been clinically shown to increase your odds of being diagnosed with cancer, so be sure to get plenty of these foods in your pro-cancer diet. That means chowing down on white bread, sweetened breakfast cereals, white fluffy pancakes, candy bars, granola bars, cookies, crackers and sweets of all kinds.

a smoking habit. The




more you smoke, the more likely you are to get cancer, especially if you're eating cancer-causing foods and ingredients as discussed above. By smoking, you will multiply the carcinogenic effect of everything else in your life. Before long, you will succeed in your goal at being diagnosed with cancer.

If you'd like to move things along a little more quickly, you can also stay out of all sunlight and use plenty of sun block and sunscreen any time you go outside.

This will prevent natural sunlight from touching your skin. Now, how will this give you cancer? It turns out that natural sunlight is

powerful prevention for cancer. People who get plenty of **natural sunlight** have a greatly reduced risk of being diagnosed with prostate cancer, breast cancer and many other disorders that aren't cancer-related such as osteoporosis and mental depression. By staying out of the sun or using sun block and sunscreen every time you're under the sun, you can prevent your body from preventing cancer, thereby giving yourself a much



greater risk for cancer with each passing day. If you find it difficult to avoid the sun, just get a night shift job where you work all night and sleep all day. That's a hugely successful pro-cancer strategy.

Another thing you can do is avoid all physical exercise. It turns out that moving your body helps you prevent cancer. Part of the reason is that body movement moves lymph fluid around, and this is an important function of your immune system that fights cancerous cells. If you refrain from all body movement, you will hamper your body's ability to fight off cancer, thereby further increasing your odds of being diagnosed with this chronic disease.

One thing to keep in mind in all of this is that everybody has cancer right now. In other words, there are cancerous cells in the human body of every person who is living and breathing right now, at this very moment.

All you have to do to get diagnosed with cancer is make sure your immune system is sufficiently suppressed so that your body can't take care of the cancerous cells on a regular basis. In other words, if you destroy your immune system function through poor nutrition, nutrient depletion, smoking, lack of sunlight and lack of physical exercise, then it won't be able to do its job of cleaning up cancerous cells around the body and as a result, cancer will become a full-blown disease in no time.

In fact, all of these strategies for giving yourself cancer have one thing in common: the suppression of your natural immune system. Now, if you've been following along and you don't have cancer yet from doing everything mentioned here, and you want to increase your odds even further, the very best thing you can do is actually get chemotherapy or radiation therapy!!!

All you have to do is go to an oncologist and tell them that you think you have cancer, and they may find some reason to put you on chemotherapy or radiation therapy. These therapies do such an outstanding job of destroying the human immune system that you might find yourself experiencing multiple cases of cancer at various sites throughout your body in the subsequent months and years. **Chemotherapy is perhaps the most effective method known to modern science for destroying the human immune system other than working at Chernobyl during a nuclear accident.** So, if you're looking to contract cancer as quickly as possible, make sure that you get chemotherapy into your life as early as you can.

By combining all of these strategies, you should be able to give yourself cancer without much effort on your part and without having to wait too long. After all, it would be a shame to die from natural causes and not have the opportunity to "invest" in the R&D efforts of pharmaceutical companies who peddle anti-cancer drugs.!!!!

Are you crazy?

You might be asking me, "Why on earth am I writing an article that tells people how to give themselves cancer?" The answer is because virtually all Americans are following this plan right now, today. They are giving themselves cancer step by step by using precisely the detailed plan that I have outlined here. And when they are suddenly diagnosed with cancer, they have a puzzled look on their faces and ask, "Gee, why do I have cancer?" The answer is because they've been following the cancer plan as outlined in this article -- all the foods they've eaten, their lifestyle choices, lack of exercise, lack of sunlight, smoking habits and reliance on chemotherapy and other radical western medical procedures has, in fact, accelerated their cancer and put them in the position they're in today.

All I've really done here in this article is described the plan most Americans are already following. This is the pro-cancer plan that's actually promoted by brand-name food manufacturers, pharmaceutical companies, and most of conventional medicine. For example, how many doctors are still screaming for people to avoid sunlight like it was the plague? Practically all of them, last time I checked. It's almost as if the entire medical community actually wanted the population to get cancer. Sadly, the entire anti-cancer campaign of conventional medicine seems to be limited to three words: "Don't smoke tobacco."

Of course, most individuals aren't really interested in contracting cancer. They'd rather prevent cancer or even reverse cancer, and now after learning how to give yourself cancer, the process for avoiding that is fairly straightforward: don't do any of the things that have been mentioned in this article. That is, avoid smoking; get plenty of sunlight; get outstanding nutrition and avoid all food ingredients that are known to promote cancer such as sodium nitrite, hydrogenated oils, refined carbohydrates and chemical additives. Also avoid chemotherapy since it is the most powerful way we know of to destroy the human immune system, thereby leaving you more vulnerable to cancer.

I present this information as a unique way to get the point across to people that if they don't want to have cancer in their lifetimes. they need to get off the cancer plan and get on to a plan that actually prevents this terrible disease.